## A cohort retrospective study of the high-risk HPV recurrence in Greek women after cervical lesion treatment through detection of viral E6/E7 mRNA expression

Fausto Carcea MD, Angelos Daniilidis MD Prof , Eleftherios Vavoulidis MSc PhD<sub>(c)</sub> ,Maria Nasioutziki MD , Alexios Papanikolaou MD Prof , <u>Konstantinos Dinas MD Prof.</u>

2<sup>nd</sup> Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Medical Faculty Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Hippokration General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

<u>Purpose</u>: To detect and evaluate potential alterations in the post-operative status of E6/E7 HPV mRNA in women treated for Cervical Intraepithelial Lesions (CIN) and if so, to evaluate its potential use as a prognostic tool to identify patients with increased risk of treatment failure or recurrent disease.

Methods: Our study retrospectively analyzed 101 women with an abnormal Pap smear, or in some cases with histological reports or molecular analysis, suggesting colposcopic evaluation. Cytological samples were collected before colposcopy and histology (when necessary). After treatment, all women were scheduled for colposcopy in six months. The cytological material was analyzed with CLART-2 HPV-DNA test and HPV-PROOFER E6/E7 mRNA test.

Table 1. Cytological and colposcopical diagnosis before and after treatment

Cytological	Before	After Treatment				
Diagnosis	Treatment					
NILM	3 (3.0%)	59 (58.4%)				
ASC-US	7 (6.9%)	25 (24.8%)				
ASC-H	4 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)				
LSIL	33 (32.7%)	13 (12.9%)				
HSIL	53 (52.5%)	4 (4.0)				
SCC	1 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)				
Total	101 (100%)	101 (100%)				
Colposcopic	Before	After Treatment				
al Diagnosis	Treatment					
NEGATIVE	20 (19.8%)	87 (86.1%)				
CIN1/HPV/L	43 (42.6%)	11 (10.9%)				
SIL		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE				
CIN2/CIN3/	37 (36.6%)	3 (3.0%)				
HSIL						
SCC	1 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)				
Total	101 (100%)	101 (100%)				

**Results**: Concerning demographics, no significant correlations were found for smoking, condom use or vaccination status. It seems that the only statistically significant correlation with actual severity comes from mRNA-test after treatment. This probably shows that clinical cases with more severe CIN may have higher chances of unsuccessful treatment. At the first post-op visit, 83.5% of HPV mRNA-positive women had negative HPV mRNA-test while only 60.4% of HPV DNApositive women became negative. There were 12 HPV-mRNA positive patients both before and after treatment, 3 of who had negative HPV DNA test, meaning that if based only on HPV-DNA results, they would have been managed wrongly as successfully treated patients. Our study shows that E6/E7 mRNA detection has particularly high specificity and positive likelihood ratio for the prediction of treatment failure in comparison with HPV DNA-testing.

Table 4. Spearman's rho statistical correlations

Correlations							
							Histology
			Cytology		DNA	mRNA	during
¹after	treatment		1	Colposcopy <sup>1</sup>	test <sup>1</sup>	test <sup>1</sup>	treatment
Spear	Cytology <sup>1</sup>		1.000	0.539**	0.256**	0.258**	0.049
man' s rho	Colposcopy <sup>1</sup>		0.539**	1.000	0.049	0.024	0.035
	DNA test <sup>1</sup>	Correlati	0.256**	0.049	1.000	0.424**	0.092
	mRNA test <sup>1</sup>	on Coefficie nt	0.258**	0.024	0.424**	1.000	0.261**
	Histology during treatment		0.049	0.035	0.092	0.261**	1.000
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).							

Table 5. Sensitivity, Specificity and Predictive values for test and HPV-DNA test and HPV-mRNA test after treatment

Table 2. Histological diagnosis of Table 3. HPV DNA test and HPV mRNA the excised lesion during treatment test before and after treatment

Histology	N (%)
during	
treatment	
NEGATIVE	17 (16.8%)
CIN1/HPV/	15 (14.9%)
LSIL	
CIN2/CIN3/	66 (65.3%)
HSIL	
SCC	3 (3.0%)
Total	101 (100%)

HPV	Before	After Treatment
DNA test	Treatment	
-	8 (8.0%)	59 (58.4%)
+	93 (92.0%)	42 (41.6%)
HPV	Before	After Treatment
mRNA test	Treatment	
	22 (21.8%)	89 (88.1%)
+	79 (78.2%)	12 (11.9%)

Threshold: CIN2+	HPV-DNA	HPV-mRNA
confirmed with cytology,	test	test
colposcopy or histology		
Sensitivity (SV)	94.44%	81.25%
Specificity (SP)	84.34%	98.82%
Positive Predictive Value	56.67%	92.86%
(PPV)		
Negative Predictive Value	98.59%	96.55%
(NPV)		