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## Background and Goal of Study

SÃO IOÃO

Quality of recovery is an important measure of the early postoperative health status. Our aim was to determine the impact of preoperative quality of life ( QoL ) on quality of recovery on patients submitted to curative neoplastic surgery.

## Materials and Methods

> Prospective and observational study;
> Inclusion criteria: adult patients submitted to elective curative neoplastic surgery and admitted to the Post Anaesthetic Care Unit (PACU);
, Exclusion criteria: inability to give informed consent;
> Quality of life before surgery:

## EuroQol Five Dimensions Questionnaire (EQ-5D);

Poor quality of life (PQL) was defined as having problems in any of the 5 dimensions of EQ-5D.
> Frail patients (FP): Clinical Frailty Scale score $\geq 4$;
> Preoperative disability:
WHODAS SCORE $\mathbf{2 . 0} \mathbf{\geq 2 5 \%}$.
, Postoperative recovery:
Postoperative Quality of Recovery Scale (PQRS)
at baseline (DO) and after surgery at minute 15 (TI5), 40 (T40) and days I(DI) and 3 (D3);
Recovery: return to baseline values for all questions in each domain;
Poor Quality of Recovery (PQR): absence of recovery to baseline values for at least three domains;
Quality of Recovery-15 (QoR-I5) at baseline (D0) and after surgery at day I (DI).
> The Mann-Whitney U test, Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test were used. Statistical significance was considered when $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## Results

Of a total of I 48 patients, I 30 were considered with PQL (88\%).
Table I- Non PQL patients vs PQL patients univariate analysis

| Variable | Non PQL N= 18 | PQL N= 130 | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age, median (years) [P25-P75] | $\begin{gathered} 58,9 \\ {[49-70]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ {[55-76]} \end{gathered}$ | $0.076^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gender male, N (\%) Gender female, N (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 13(72) \\ & 5(27.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52(40) \\ & 78(60) \end{aligned}$ | $0.01{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $A S A \geq 3, N(\%)$ | 3 (16.7) | 48 (36.9) | 0.090 |
| BMI, median [P25-P75] | $\begin{gathered} 25.95 \\ {[24,71-29.63]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.71 \\ {[22.74-29.18]} \end{gathered}$ | $0.472^{\text {a }}$ |
| Disability (WHODAS 2.0) N (\%) | 0 (0) | 32 (24.46) | $0.013^{\text {b }}$ |
| Frailty, N (\%) | 1 (5.5) | 44(33.8) | $0.013^{\text {b }}$ |
| EQVAS median <br> [P25-P75] | 80[65-90] | 80[50-76] | 0,002 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Table 2 - Recovery according to Qor- 15 Univariate analysis

| QoR-I5 | Non PQL <br> $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 8}$ | PQL <br> $\mathbf{N}=130$ | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D0 total, median |  |  |  |
| [P25-P75] | 142 <br> $(138-147)$ | 127 <br> $(112-136)$ | $<\mathbf{0 , 0 0 1 ^ { \mathbf { a } }}$ |
| DI total, median <br> [P25-P75] | 118 <br> $(113-134)$ | 113 <br> $(95.5-126.5)$ | $<0,08^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

Table 3 - Incomplete recovery according to PQRS Univariate analysis

| Incomplete Recovery (domain/time frame) |  | Non PQL $N=18$ | PQL N= 130 | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physiologic <br> (\%) | TI5 | 77.78 | 89.23 | $0.238^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | T40 | 66.67 | 73.44 | $0.546{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | DI | 23.53 | 26.98 | $0.510^{\text {b }}$ |
| Nociceptive (\%) | TI5 | 11.11 | 25.38 | $0.244^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | T40 | 33.33 | 28.13 | $0.648{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | DI | 17.65 | 27.78 | $0.560^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | D3 | 27.78 | 25.41 | $0.780^{\text {b }}$ |
| Emotional (\%) | TI5 | 27.78 | 71.54 | $0.001^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | T40 | 22.22 | 72.66 | $<0.001^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | DI | 16.67 | 67.20 | <0.001 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | D3 | 11.11 | 67.21 | <0.001 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Cognitive <br> (\%) | TI5 | 94.44 | 92.31 | $0.603^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | T40 | 88.89 | 83.59 | $0.526^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | DI | 88.89 | 80.0 | $0.526^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | D3 | 88.24 | 78.05 | $0.525^{\text {b }}$ |
| Functional (\%) | T40 | 72.22 | 82.81 | $0.390^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | DI | 55.56 | 68.8 | 0,263 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | D3 | 47.06 | 54.47 | $0.566^{\text {c }}$ |

Table 4 - PQR at D3 according to PQRS

|  | Non PQL <br> $\mathbf{N}=18$ | PQL <br> $\mathbf{N}=130$ | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PQR,\% | 44 | 71 | $\mathbf{0 , 0 4 2}$ |

a: Mann-Whitney U test test; b: Fisher's exact test; c: chi-squared test

## Conclusion

> Patients with poor quality of life presented more frailty, disability, and worst health status, before surgery.
. According to PQRS they presented poor quality of recovery.
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