Pathophysiology of cerebral small vessel disease: cerebral collateral efficiency and markers of small vessel disease

Jason Yoon, MD¹, Meg VanNostrand, MD², Magdy Selim, MD¹, Vasileios-Arsenios Lioutas, MD¹

¹Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA ²New England Neurological Associates, Lawrence, MA, USA

Background

Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) is a microvascular process with distinct MRI markers: white matter hyperintensities (WMH), cerebral microbleeds (CMB), lacunes, and enlarged perivascular spaces (EPVS). It is a common cause of cognitive decline and gait problems especially in the elderly. While each of the markers are associated with cardiovascular risk factors, mounting evidence there is that they may be pathophysiologically distinct¹, though classically cerebral hypoperfusion has been thought to play a central role. We undertook this analysis to explore the association between cerebral collateral circulation status and CSVD burden.

Methods

retrospective cohort of 142 consecutive patients who Α presented to a single academic center with anterior circulation large vessel occlusions on CT angiography was analyzed. MRI images obtained in the acute stroke phase were independently examined for markers of CSVD in the contralesional hemisphere using previously published criteria² by investigators blinded to risk factors or demographic data. Patients were excluded if MRI images unavailable for review. The degree of WMH was quantified using the Fazekas scale. The total CSVD burden score was calculated based on severity of the Fazekas score, presence of CMB or lacunes, and severity of EPVS¹. We used a previously described collateral score (CS) to characterize the degree of collateral supply³. Univariable and multivariable adjusted analyses were performed to explore the association between CS and CSVD.

Discussion

Lower CS is associated with WMH presence, but not other CSVD markers or total CSVD burden. This suggests that while WMH may be associated with chronic ischemia, other markers of CSVD, especially lacunes, may have а different pathophysiology. The current literature is mixed with this regard^{4,5}, at least partially due to the fact that different terms and definitions have been used to study CSVD². While further work in human studies of WMH is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanism, our work suggests that better collaterals may be protective against development of WMH.

		Table 2Markers of CSVD	
		CMB, n(%)	7 (6)
		Lacunes, n (%)	27 (21)
Table 1 Study population characteristics		EPVS basal ganglia, n(%)	76 (61)
Age Mean +/- SD)	63.5 +/- 17.7	Severe BG EPVS (grades 2-4)	8 (6)
		EPVS CSO, n(%)	64 (51)
Female sex N(%)	62 (49)	Severe CSO EPVS (grades 2-4)	9 (7)
White race, N(%)	87 (74)	Deep WMH, n (%)	
Left MCA, N(%)	53 (42)	Grade 0	65 (51)
Tan Score, median (IQR)	2 (1-2)	Grade 1	35 (28)
Expanded Tan Score, median (IQR)	5 (2-6)	Grade 2	16 (13)
Hypertension, n (%)	87 (69)	Grade 3	11 (8)
		"Severe" Deep WMH (Grades 2/3)	27 (21)
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	64 (50)	Periventricular WMH, n(%)	
Diabetes, n(%)	33 (26)	Grade 0	31 (24)
Current smoking, n (%)	22 (18)	Grade 1	65 (51)
Admission SBP, mean +/- SD	140.7+/- 25.3	Grade 2	21 (17)
Admission NIHSS, median IQR	16 (11-20)	Grade 3	10 (8)
Significant carotid stenosis (>50%), n (%)	9 (7)	"Severe" PVWMH (Grade 3)	10 (8)
Intracranial large artery stenosis, n (%)	6 (5)	Total CSVD burden	
5		Grade 0	78 (62)
Any large artery atherosclerotic stenosis, n (%)	14 (11)	Grade 1	29 (23)
		Grade 2	16 (13)

Results

A total of 127 patients (63.5±17.7 years, 49% female) were included in the study. HTN was the most common vascular risk factor and was present in 69% of patients. The median collateral score was 2 (IQR 1-2). Among the CSVD markers, WMH was the most prevalent marker (76%) followed by basal ganglia EPVS (61%) and lacunes (21%). Lower mean CS was associated only with presence of WMH (4.19±1.98 vs 5.19±2.54, p=0.02). This association was maintained in multivariable logistic regression (OR 0.75, 95% CI: 0.58-0.95, p=0.01). There was no significant association between CS and lacunes or EPVS. Older age had the most potent association with individual CSVD markers and higher total CSVD burden (p=<0.0001). Of the typical vascular risk factors, HTN showed the most significant association with CSVD burden (p=0.006). Ipsilateral large vessel stenosis was also associated with higher CSVD burden (p=0.02).

	10 (15)
Grade 3	3 (2)
Grade 4	0

Table 3 Association between CS and CSVD markers						
	Present	Not present	p value			
Lacunes						
Age	70.2+/- 15.2	61.7+/-17.8	0.03			
Expanded CS	4.1+/-0.4	4.5+/-0.2	0.38			
CMBs						
Age	70.7+/-16	63.1+/-17.8	0.27			
Expanded CS	5.28+/- 2.13	4.38+/-2.16	0.29			
WMH						
Age, mean,(SD)	66.8+/-16.9	53.1+/-16.1	0.0001			
Expanded CS	4.19 +/-1.98	5.19+/-2.54	0.02			
Multivariate analysis	OR	95% CI				
Age	1.04	1.01-1.08	0.003			
Expanded CS	0.75	0.58-0.95	0.01			
EPVS (basal ganglia)						
Age	68.8 +/-16.9	55.1 +/- 15.8	< 0.0001			
Expanded CS	4.34+/-1.99	4.49+/-2.4	0.71			

Table 4 Total CSVD load and associated risk factors					
Risl	c factors	β	Std error	p value	
Age		0.018	0.004	< 0.0001	
CS		-0.08	0.1	0.39	
Expanded CS		-0.02	0.03	0.41	
Risl	c factors				
Female sex				0.74	
HTN				0.006	
HLD				0.05	
DM				0.03	
Smoking				0.85	
Ipsilateral larg	je vessel stenosis			0.02	

1. Staals J et al. Stroke subtype, vascular risk factors, and total MRI brain small-vessel disease burden. Neurology, 83(14), 1228-1234. 2014.

2. Wardlaw JM et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. Lancet Neurology, 12(8), 822-838. 2013.

4. Arba F et al. Cerebral White Matter Hypoperfusion Increases with Small-Vessel Disease Burden. Data From the Third International Stroke Trial. J Stroke Cerebrovasc, 26(7), 1506-1513. 2017.

5. Sanossian N et al. Leukoaraiosis and collaterals in acute ischemic stroke. J Neuroimaging, 21(3). 2011.

3. Tan IYL et al. CT angiography clot burden score and collateral score: Correlation with clinical and

radiologic outcomes in acute middle cerebral artery infarct. Am J Neuroradiol, 30(3), 525-31. 2009.



School