Differentially Expressed miRNAs and mRNAs in Psoriatic Skin

Åshild Ø. Solvin¹, Konika Chawla^{1,2,3}, Lene C. Olsen^{1,2,3}, Kjersti Danielsen⁴, Marita Jenssen⁴, Anne-Sofie Furberg^{5,6}, Marit Saunes^{2,7}, Kristian Hveem^{1,8}, Pål Sætrom^{1,2,3,9}, Mari Løset^{1,7}

¹K.G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, ²Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, ³Bioinformatics Core Facility, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, ⁴Department of Cormunity Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway, Tromsø, Norway, Formatology, University Hospital of North Norway, Tromsø, Norway, Steince and Technology, University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway, Steince and Technology, University of Norway, Steince and Steince Tromsø, Norway, ⁶Department of Microbiology and Infection Control, University Hospital of North Norway, ⁷Department of Dermatology, St. Olavs hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway, ⁸HUNT Research Centre, Department of Public Health and Nursing, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, ⁹Department of Computer Science, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway,

Background

Psoriasis is a chronic immune-mediated skin disease with an estimated global prevalence of 1-3%. Interestingly, several studies have reported a substantially higher prevalence in Norway, ranging from 5.8% to 11.4% (1, 2).

For the past seven decades, the influence of genetics in the development of psoriasis has been recognized (3). Since then, genome-wide association studies have identified >60 loci associated with the disease.

Much of our knowledge of the molecular contributors of the disease has come from transcriptional studies of psoriatic skin samples, which have identified several dysregulated messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) in psoriasis (4, 5). miRNAs are short, noncoding RNAs which have the ability to regulate gene expression on a post-transcriptional level, through silencing or repression of mRNAs (6). However, for most studies, the sample sizes have been relatively small and no large-scale transcriptomic study has been conducted on Norwegian skin samples.

To increase our understanding of the pathophysiology of psoriasis we aimed to do in-depth investigations on the psoriasis transcriptome using samples from our recently established biobank.

Materials and Methods

We collected skin biopsies from lesional (PP) and nonlesional (PN) skin of psoriatic patients (n = 75) and

Results

mRNA:

We identified a total of 14,242 significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) across all contrasts. There was a substantial overlap between the contrasts as shown in Figure 1.

When comparing PP to NN we identified 11,297 DEGs. When comparing PN to NN, we identified 50 DEGs. Functional enrichment clustering of the DEGs in the PP/NN contrast identified 'immunity', 'keratinization' and 'cell division' for the up-regulated genes. The down-regulated genes showed enrichment for 'glycoprotein/cell membrane', 'disulphide bond' and 'cell adhesion'.





Figure 1. Venn-diagram of overlapping DEGs between the different contrasts.

Figure 2. Enrichment of GO terms for DEGs for PP/NN.

miRNA:

We identified a total of 543 differentially expressed miRNAs. They also showed substantial overlap between the comparisons, with 424 miRNA (78.1%) being shared between the PP/NN and PP/NN contrast (Fig 3). No miRNA reached significance when comparing PN to NN skin. Of the 543 differentially expressed miRNAs identified, 220 were novel for psoriasis.

Gene ontology analysis of the predicted targets of the significantly differentially expressed miRNAs, displayed enrichment for various signaling pathways and cancer terms. As the enriched terms were quite broad, there was a substantial overlap between the GO results of the targets of the up-regulated and down-regulated miRNAs as shown in Figure 4.

controls (NN) (n = 46). The biopsies were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq4000 flow cells.

Differential expression analysis was performed using *limma*, comparing PP to NN, PP to PN and PN to NN. We predicted the targets of the dysregulated miRNA using miRBase. We performed gene ontology (GO) analysis on the dysregulated mRNA and the predicted targets of the dysregulated miRNAs, as well as functional clustering analysis using DAVID v6.8 for the genes with a $|\log_2 FC| \ge 2$.

Discussion and Conclusion

Our results support a model for psoriasis as a result of perturbed interaction between keratinocytes and activated immune cells, leading to an increased proliferation rate of keratinocytes and epidermal thickening. Both the number of differentially expressed genes and functional enrichment of mRNAs are concordant with both the clinical presentation and previous studies of psoriasis.

We aim for further systematic analysis using network tools and to identify pairs of miRNA and mRNA with anticorrelated expression patterns. By combining blood expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) and tissue expression, we will determine to what extent diseaseassociated genetic variants and expression differences between psoriasis-related and normal skin are reflected in blood composition or gene expression.



Figure 3. Venn-diagram of overlapping differentially expressed miRNA transcripts between the different contrasts.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful for the participation of the study volunteers, as well as assistance from the nurses and other staff at the Clinical Research Facility, St. Olavs hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim and the Genomics Core Facility, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

K.G. Jebsen Center for





Figure 4. Enrichment of GO terms for the predicted targets of up- and downregulated miRNA in the PP/NN contrast.

References

1. Modalsli EH, Snekvik I, Asvold BO, Romundstad PR, Naldi L, Saunes M. Validity of Self-Reported Psoriasis in a General Population: The HUNT Study, Norway. The Journal of investigative dermatology. 2016;136(1):323-5.

2. Danielsen K, Olsen AO, Wilsgaard T, Furberg AS. Is the prevalence of psoriasis increasing? A 30-year follow-up of a population-based cohort. The British journal of dermatology. 2013;168(6):1303-10. 3. Steinberg AG, Becker SW, Jr., Fitzpatrick TB, Kierland RR. A genetic and statistical study of psoriasis. Am J Hum Genet. 1951;3(3):267-81. 4. Li, B., et al., Transcriptome analysis of psoriasis in a large case-control sample: RNA-seq provides insights into disease mechanisms. J Invest Dermatol, 2014. 134(7): p. 1828-38.

5. Srivastava A, Meisgen F, Pasquali L, Munkhammar S, Xia P, Stahle M, et al. Next generation sequencing identifies the keratinocyte-specific miRNA signature of psoriasis. The Journal of investigative dermatology. 2019.

6. Sonkoly E, Wei T, Janson PC, Saaf A, Lundeberg L, Tengvall-Linder M, et al. MicroRNAs: novel regulators involved in the pathogenesis of psoriasis? PloS one. 2007;2(7):e610.