Disclosures Undisclosed

Shazadeh Safavi BS¹; Gregory J. Schneider MS¹; Cory F. Janney MD¹,²; Daniel Jupiter PhD¹; Vinod K. Panchbhavi MD,
¹University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX; ²United States Navy

duction

ACA). This act was designed to increase ationships between pharmaceutical viders. Payments greater than \$10 are afacturer. There have been numerous al fields evaluating these payments to the been noted to have the largest of all device manufacturers.

ective:

e the nondisclosure rates of conflicts-ofned research articles to three prevalent ear period lasting from January 2014 to

hods:

sulting fees.

m the United States that were published not and Ankle International (FAI), The (JBJS), and The Journal of Arthroplasty

rest (COI) disclosure statements were author from the online version of the incial relationship involving employment, d consulting fees from a biomedical das having disclosed a potential COI. earched for using CMS Open Payments for had received payments in the form of

of a COI was present, an author's ed article was compared to CMS Open to publication of the article.

Table 2. Conflict-of-interest disclosure accuracy percentages for research articles published in each journal across the 2014 to 2016 period.

	The Journal of Arthroplasty (JOA)	The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (JBJS)	Foot and Ankle International (FAI)	All 3 Journals Combined
Articles with no undisclosed conflicts-of-interest	841/924 (91.0%)	479/505 (94.9%)	196/343 (57.1%)	1,560/1,770 (85.7%)
Articles with an undisclosed conflict-of-interest	65/924 (7.0%)	23/505 (4.6%)	145/343 (42.3%)	233/1,770 (13.2%)
Articles with insufficient information on both first and last author	18/924 (2.0%)	3/505 (0.6%)	2/343 (0.6%)	21/1,770 (1.20%)

Table 3. Mean and median payment amounts for authors who successfully disclosed versus those who failed to disclosed a potential conflict-of-interest.

	Mean for authors Failing to disclose a potential COI	Mean for authors who correctly disclosed any potential COI	Median for authors Failing to disclose a potential COI	Median for authors who correctly disclosed any potential COI
The Journal of Arthroplasty (JOA)	\$70,004.51 (sd \$183,394.43)	\$442,803.19 (sd \$795,184.99)	\$17,212.98	\$128,353.60
The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (JBJS)	\$203,249.61 (sd \$654,925.98)	\$246,859.05 (sd \$566,102.46)	\$10,177.50	\$37,124.00
Foot and Ankle International (FAI)	\$112,905.59 (sd \$247,252.45)	\$181,051.39 (sd \$269,758.25)	\$49,599.28	\$71,923.04
All 3 Journals Combined	\$109,804.50 (sd \$296,556.90)	\$376367.98 (sd \$725,812.13)	\$34,625.00	\$79,295.15

Resul

- Across all journals and years, we obtained total first and last authors publishing sample, 7.1% (245/3,465) of the authors of-interest and 13.2% (233/1,770) of articles an undisclosed potential conflict-of-interest.
- When looking at each journal individual contained the highest percentage of aut JBJS contained the lowest percent of aut and JOA had an intermediate amount (7.0%).
- When observing the mean and median pundisclosed COI vs those authors who such median are greater for authors who such true within each journal individually as journals (table 3). Additionally, the standarthan the mean for payments received within indicating a wide range in amount of payr
- Of 1,932 unique authors within our same discrepancy had authorships in 3 or fewer making it unlikely that our values were signited prolific authors with disclosure discrepancy publications and at least 1 disclosure discrepancy could not

Conclus

Discrepancies between payment disclosures in the CMS database were present in all three percentage of articles containing an author widely between these journals. However, disclosure discrepancies by year, no trend wa

ning an undisclosed conflict-of-interest per journal.

JC	JOA*			JBJS**			FAI***				
2015	2016	2014-2016	2014	2015	2016	2014-2016	2014	2015	2016	2014-2016	2014
11/561 (2.0%)	38/728 (5.2%)	66/1,821 (3.6%)	4/440 (0.9%)	8/292 (2.7%)	11/254 (4.3%)	23/986 (2.3%)	56/244 (23.0%)	60/224 (26.8%)	40/190 (21.1%)	156/658 (23.7%)	77/1,216 (6.3%)
11/285 (3.86%)	37/370 (10.0%)	65/924 (7.0%)	4/224 (1.8%)	8/149 (5.4%)	11/133 (8.3%)	23/505 (4.6%)	49/128 (38.3%)	58/114 (50.9%)	38/102 (37.3%)	145/343 (42.3%)	70/620 (11.3%)