

Saving the axilla: can we reduce the number of up-front axillary dissections in early breast cancer?



DB Percya, A Robertsb, JS Paoa,c, E McKevitta,c, C Dingeea,c, U Kuuska,c, R Cheifetza, R Warburtona,c

^a Division of General Surgery, The University of British Columbia
^b Division of General Surgery, The University of Ottawa
^c Mount St Joseph Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia

At-A-Glance

- The utility of pre-operative axillary imaging to determine extent of axillary surgery in early stage breast cancer has been contested in the post-Z0011 era
- In this retrospective review, the PPV of US/FNA for high nodal burden (≥ 3 LNs) was 0.56; almost half of early breast cancer patients may have been **spared up-front ALND**
- We recommend against the use of routine pre-operative axillary US/FNA in early stage breast cancer patients as it may lead to over-treatment of patients with early stage breast cancer (Level III)

Introduction

- Axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) is the current standard of care for patients with pre-operative positive axillary lymph nodes (cN1)
- Some patients with low burden axillary disease can be spared ALND ^{1, 2}
- Utility of preoperative axillary imaging has been contested as it may lead to over treatment in some patients

Purpose

- Examine the burden of nodal disease in patients who underwent up-front ALND based on clinical exam or ultrasound/fine needle aspirate (US/FNA)
- Identify the proportion of patients with early invasive breast cancer who could potentially be spared ALND

Methods

- Retrospective review from 2012 2016
- Patients with cT1-T2 breast cancer who underwent ALND for cN1 disease by clinical exam or preoperative US/FNA
- Exclusion criteria: neoadjuvant therapy, positive sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB)

Table 1: Patient and Tumour Characteristics				
Total population	n = 105			
Age (mean ± range)	61 (28 - 91)			
Partial Mastectomy	63 (56%)			
Tumour Size (median)	25mm			
Grade				
	11 (11%)			
II	40 (38%)			
III	54 (51%)			
Pathology				
Ductal	90 (87%)			
Lobular	9 (8%)			
Other	6 (5%)			
LVI	53 (47%)			
ER+	80 (71%)			
HER2+	22 (19%)			

Results:

- 105 patients identified (Table 1) 14 patients excluded had intraoperative conversion of SLNB to ALND
- No significant difference in ability to detect high nodal burden between clinical exam vs. US/FNA (Z-test, α = 0.05)

Tumour Burden for all ALND Patients				
	Low (<3 LNs)	High (≥3 LNs)	PPV (High)	
Clinically palpable (n = 52)	26 (50%)	26 (50%)	0.50	
US/FNA positive (n = 39)	17 (44%)	22 (56%)	0.56	
SLNB converted (n =14)	6 (42%)	8 (58%)	0.58	
Total (n = 105)	49 (47%)	56 (53%)	*	

Discussion:

- Both US/FNA and clinical exam were poor at predicting high nodal burden
- Routine FNA/US to determine up-front ALND may have led to overtreatment in 44% of patients
- Others have shown the poor predictive value of both US/FNA and magnetic resonance imaging for determining axillary burden ^{3, 4}
- Palpable nodes can be confirmed pathologic by US/ FNA prior to ALND
- Use of neoadjuvant therapy for cN1 disease reduced the number of patients meeting inclusion criteria

Conclusion

 We recommend against the use of routine FNA/US to determine candidacy for up-front ALND in cT1-T2 breast cancer

References:

- 1. Giuliano et al. JAMA. 2011
- 2. Donker et al. Lancet Onc. 2014
- 3. Pilewski et al. Ann Surg Onc. 2016
- 4. Schipper et al. Breast. 2013

Contact: dean.percy@alumni.ubc.ca

