Molecular and Epidemiology Data on Cystic Echinococcosis in Tunisia

Myriam Oudni-M'rad, Selim M'rad and
Hamouda Babba

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/60891>

Abstract

Cystic echinococcosis (CE) or hydatidosis is a widespread zoonose in the world. In Tunisia, despite the deployed prevention program, CE remains a serious public health problem. With a human surgical annual incidence averaging 12.6/100000 inhabitants, Tunisia is one of the most endemic areas amongst the Mediterranean countries. Three *Echinococcus* species have been described: *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G1 and G3 genotypes), *E. canadensis*, and *E. equinus*. CE, which commonly starts during childhood and described as a young adult disease, may be observed at any age. The liver and the lungs are the most commonly involved organs but the cyst can occur almost anywhere in the body. In production animals, the prevalence of CE is ranged from 16.42% to 40.42% in sheep, 8.56% in cattle, 6% in dromedaries, 2.9% in goats, and 8.48% in donkeys. The elevated number of stray and semi-stray dogs and their frequent contamination by *E. granulosus* infected viscera is the major cause of the CE spread. A high prevalence of *E. granulosus* infection has been reported in Tunisian dogs ranging from 3.75% to 27.1%, depending on the regions. Thus, the sanitary education concerning hydatidosis should be reinforced and efforts should be made to implement a targeted educational program.

Keywords: Cystic echinococcosis, Tunisia, molecular characterization, epidemiology, public health

1. Introduction

Cystic echinococcosis (CE) or hydatidosis, caused by *Echinococcus granulosus*, is a widespread zoonosis in the world, especially in North African countries such as Libya, Algeria, Morocco, and Tunisia [1, 2]. Alveolar echinococcosis (AE), caused by the larval stage of *E. multilocularis* is extremely rare in Maghreb and only three autochthonous cases of human AE were reported in Tunisia and Morocco [3–5]. CE is of veterinary and medical importance because infection with metacestode may cause severe illness and significant socio-economic repercussions. With an annual surgical incidence (SI) averaging 12.6/100000 inhabitants [6] and approximately US\$ 10–19 million losses annually in both humans and animals [7], Tunisia is one of the most endemic areas amongst the Mediterranean countries. Neighboring countries such as Italy (SI=1.6), Algeria (SI=3.6–4.6), Morocco (SI=4.6), Libya (SI=4.2), Spain (SI=0.3), and France (SI=0.1) presented lower surgical incidence [1, 8, 9]. In the *E. granulosus* vital cycle the adult tapeworm lives in the intestine of some carnivores (definitive hosts), and the larval stage develops in the herbivores (intermediate hosts) and humans, essentially in the liver and lungs.

2. *Echinococcus granulosus* genotyping

E. granulosus is a complex in which four or five cryptic species are intermixed: *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (genotypes G1 to G3), *E. equinus* (genotype G4), *E. ortleppi* (genotype G5), *E. canadensis* (genotypes G6 to G10), and *Echinococcus felidis* (lion strain) [10–12]. The characterization of the species/genotypes responsible for human and animal hydatidosis is important in order to adapt the measures of control and prevention against this parasitic disease. Indeed, it is known that the genotype influences the life cycle patterns, the host specificity, and the pathology. Four genotypes (G1, G3, G4, and G6) have been described in Tunisia using molecular techniques such as PCR-RFLP analysis of the ribosomal DNA ITS1 fragment and mitochondrial cytochrome C oxidase (Cox1) or elongation factor 1-alpha (ef1a) gene sequencing (Table 1). The G1 genotype was identified in humans, sheep, cattle, and dromedaries [13–16], the G3 genotype in cattle and human isolates [17], the G6 genotype in one human case and in the Southern dromedaries [13, 16], and the G4 genotype in donkeys [16]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that donkeys can be infected by two different species, *E. granulosus* s.s (G1 genotype) and *E. equinus*, occurring in sympatry [16].

Origin	No. of cysts	Gene markers	Genotype frequency (%)	Reference
Sheep	102	ITS1 and cox1	G1 (100%)	[13]
	33	12s rRNA	G1 (100%)	[18]
	10	Cox1	G1 (100%)	[17]
	33	Cox1 and ef1a	G1 (100%)	[16]
Cattle	79	ITS1 and cox1	G1 (100%)	[13]
	4	12sRNA	G1 (100%)	[18]

Origin	No. of cysts	Gene markers	Genotype frequency (%)	Reference
Human	10	Cox1	G1 (90%); G3 (10%)	[17]
	19	Cox1 and ef1a	G1 (100%)	[16]
	50	ITS1 and cox1	G1 (100%)	[13]
	10	Cox1	G1 (90%); G3 (10%)	[17]
	241	ITS1 and cox1	G1 (100%)	[15]
	25	Cox1 and ef1a	G1 (100%)	[16]
Dromedary	3	ITS1 and cox1	G6 (100%)	[13]
	13	Cox1	G1 (100%)	[14]
	11	Cox1 and ef1a	G1 (72%); G6 (28%)	[16]
Donkey	37	Cox1 and ef1a	G1 (40%); G4 (60%)	[16]
Goat	14	Cox1 and ef1a	G1 (100%)	[16]

Table 1. Molecular epidemiology of cystic echinococcosis in human and production animals in Tunisia.

The Tunisian situation is grossly the same as other Maghreb countries (Algeria and Libya) where the G1 and the G6 genotypes were reported in livestock and camels [19–22].

In Tunisia, the most frequent genotype associated with CE is the G1 genotype (*E. granulosus sensu stricto*) [13–16]. Currently, at least 43 different haplotypes were described for the Cox1 gene by molecular analysis in humans and different intermediate hosts (Genbank accession numbers: U50464, AY679144, AY679145, AY679146, KM014606–KM014644, Table 2) [13, 16]. The existence of genetic and phenotypic variants inside this genotype has been previously shown by using isoelectric-focusing techniques [23, 24]. Regarding the host origin, it has been demonstrated that there is a slight difference of the G1 genotype in parasite populations between sheep, human, and cattle (Fst values from 0.05 to 0.15) [16, 23]. The cysts originating from human (lung or liver) are intermediary between sheep and cattle origins as considering the genetic variability, whereas cattle and sheep isolates are slightly different [25].

GenBank accession numbers	Mutation	CO1 protein mutation	Host	Cyst localisation	Reference
U50464	C56T	A27V	Human, Sheep , Cattle	Lung and Liver	[13]
AY679144	T123C	None	Sheep	Liver	[13]
AY679145	G312A	None	Human	Lung	[13]
AY679146	T204G	None	Cattle	Lung	[13]
KM014606 to KM014644	-	-	Camels, cattle, goat sheep, human, jackals, donkey, wild boar	-	[16]

Table 2. Molecular epidemiology and G1 genotype genetic diversity observed in Tunisia.

3. Human disease with special focus on pediatric hydatidosis

The *E. granulosus* adult stage infects the Canidae that releases the parasite eggs in the environment through their feces. Humans are a dead-end host that do not play a role in the natural cycle of the parasite. They are contaminated by an accidental consumption of the eggs, resulting from the contact with an infected dog or through the ingestion of contaminated vegetables. Eggs result in the development of one or several unilocular hydatid cysts (Figure 1) that could grow up to 20 cm in diameter [15, 26, 27]. Because of the slow rate of cyst growth, clinical symptoms do not usually arise until several years after infection. The liver and the lungs are the most commonly involved organs but the cyst can occur almost anywhere in the body.

Figure 1. Hydatid cysts from Tunisian children operated at F. Bourguiba Monastir teaching hospital. A: Pulmonary cyst from an eight-year-old child. B: Hepatic cyst from a six-year-old child. Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

CE remains an important public health problem in Tunisia and despite the deployed prevention program, a slight reduction in the mean annual surgical incidence rate (SI) from 15 to 12.6 cases/100,000 inhabitants was observed during the last 20 years [6, 28]. The endemic status differs from one region to another, based on the SI, and some areas have been defined as hyperendemic ($SI > 22.6$), holoendemic ($15 < SI < 22.6$), mesoendemic ($7.5 < SI < 15$), and hypoendemic regions ($SI < 7.5$) [6]. The geographical repartition of different endemic regions was shown in Figure 2. Hydatidosis is known to be more important in rural areas where the definitive hosts (domestic and wild Canid) and herbivore intermediate hosts are in close contact, but an extension in the urban zones was noted during the past decade.

In Tunisia, several human studies were focusing on pediatric hydatidosis [15, 27, 29–31]. Cystic echinococcosis, which commonly starts during childhood or adolescence and described as a young adult disease, may be observed at any age. Two studies on children hydatid cysts (161 and 241 cysts) were carried out between 1999 and 2009 and assessed that the greatest number of cases was observed in the age groups of 4–9 years [15, 31]. Another retrospective study conducted between 1985 and 2009 and based exclusively on 757 pulmonary cysts of young

Figure 2. Hydatidosis endemic status based on mean human annual surgical incidence (SI) published by [6]. (The maps of Africa and Tunisia come from <http://d-maps.com/>).

children (3–7 years) and older children (8–15 years) demonstrated a mean age of 5.7 and 12 years old, respectively [27]. This early infestation has already been described in Turkey [32], Palestine [33], and Jordan [34].

In children, the lungs are the most common sites for hydatid cysts followed by the liver (Table 3) [15, 27, 31]. This could be explained by noisier and earlier symptoms in children where cough, chest pain, and hemoptysis are the most frequently encountered signs [27, 30]. Some

exceptional cyst localizations (central nervous system, orbit, spleen, kidney, and heart) were also described [15, 35, 36]. Several organs may be contaminated simultaneously and about 20% of cases reported in literature were involved in multiple cysts with essentially liver associated with lung [15, 27]. The growth of the hydatid is independent of children’s age since cysts of high diameter (15 cm) were found in children of 4 years old [15, 31].

No. of patients	No. of cysts	Cyst localization	Frequency (%)	Fertility (%)	Viability (%)	Reference
195	241	Liver	34.8	68.5	77	[15]
		Lung	61.8	83		
		Other	3.4	71		
121	161	Lung	59.5	70	79	[31]
		Liver	36	81.5		
		Other	4.5	71		

Table 3. CE prevalence, cyst fertility, and protoscoleces viability in Tunisian children.

Numerous pediatric studies have noted a slight male predominance compared to girls with a sex ratio of 1.2 to 1.8 [15, 27, 28, 31]. This observation was although reported in Algeria [37], Iran [38], Bulgaria [39], and Jordan [34]. The higher infestation of boys compared to girls in endemic countries is explained by the fact that school-age boys have more external activities than girls, with a greater promiscuity with dogs. In adults, in contrast to what is observed in children, women are more commonly affected than men [28, 40, 41]. This difference is due to their role in the home activities and that in rural areas, the women are more often at home and care very often for dogs and cattle, which increases the risk of contamination. In addition, the adult females have more regular medical follow-up (e.g., during pregnancy) that results in fortuitous cyst discoveries on ultrasound examinations.

The direct examination of the cyst allows studying its fertility (presence or absence of protoscoleces) and the protoscolex viability. The cyst fertility was analyzed by light microscopic observation. Protoscolex viability was determined using vital eosin 0.2% coloration (Figure 3). The fertility of the cyst is independent of its location and its size and no relation with the age of infected children was noticed (Table 3) [15, 27, 42]. The cyst fertility and protoscoleces viability in humans are not involved in the maintenance of the parasite life cycle because human is a dead-end host but they are parameters attesting of the perfect adaptation of the parasite to humans.

As mentioned before in the genotyping section, the most frequent species associated with human hydatidosis is the *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G1 genotype). Nevertheless, for two children, the *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G3 genotype) and the *E. canadensis* species were observed. The fact that humans could be infected by different species/genotypes is an epidemiological feature to be taken into account in CE control measures.

Figure 3. Determination of protoscolex viability by using 0.2% eosin coloration: Alive (A) and dead (B) protoscolex. Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

4. Cystic echinococcosis in production animals

Livestock echinococcosis leads to economic repercussions because of animal liver and lung condemnations, decrease of the carcass weight, animal fertility, and milk production [43]. In Tunisia, breeding remains mainly traditional and the population practices extensive sheep farming. Livestock are ubiquitous all over Tunisia, especially in rural areas and about 4 million sheep female unit (FU), 700,000 goat FU, 420,000 cattle FU, and 17,000 camel FU are recorded [44]. The CE prevalence in food animals depends on the presence of the intermediate host of the parasite and their close contact with the final host (stray and semi-stray dogs). These intermediate hosts differ from one region to another in function of climatic factors and/or breeding or alimentary practices. Thus, dromedary breeding is essentially located in Southern Tunisia (desertic climate) where camels are most consumed, whereas sheep and cattle breedings are practiced throughout the country. Herbivores acquire the infection through ingestion of *echinococcus* eggs excreted by the dog feces. The breeding of small animals is practiced by farmers, but also commonly practiced by households in rural and urban areas (Figures 4 and 5). The importance of pastoral animal husbandry constitutes a significant risk factor for echinococcosis transmission because of the close contact of production animals with dogs. Thus, the infected livestock that died on the pastures are not buried and dogs or other carnivores are able to access these cadavers and lead to complete the transmission cycle (Figure 6).

Figure 4. Pastoral sheep breeding in rural areas where sheep are in close contact with dogs. Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

Figure 5. Sheep breeding in urban areas where sheep are in close contact with dogs. Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

Figure 6. Carcass of dead cattle abandoned on the pasture and accessible to stray dogs. Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

CE prevalence in production animals was estimated by post-mortem examination of slaughtered animals at abattoirs. A series of studies carried out in Tunisia assessed that the prevalence of *E. granulosus* infection ranged from 16.42% to 40.42% in sheep, 8.56% in cattle, 6% in Dromedaries, 2.9% in goats, and 8.48% in donkeys [14, 45–47] (Table 4). The highest prevalence was observed in sheep, as is the case in Libya (20%) and Italy (11.5%) [21, 48], while the lowest prevalence was noted in goats. In Morocco and Algeria, the cattle (23%) and the camels (25%), respectively, are the most infected animals whereas husbandry and slaughtering practices are grossly the same as in Tunisia [19, 49, 50]. It has been accepted that variations in animal CE prevalence rates can be related to the species/genotype involved in the infection [51]. Nevertheless, in Tunisia, the G1 genotype is predominant in all intermediate hosts with high fertility rates [13] and only some infection cases by others genotypes are reported (see genotyping section). Moreover, a study conducted in Tunisia has demonstrated that the sheep over 8 years old are more often contaminated than sheep of 1–2 years and sheep of 4 years (60% vs. 20% and 40%, respectively) [46]. Consequently, in Tunisia, the difference in prevalence rate is more related to the age of slaughtered herbivores than to the species/genotype implied in the infection. In camels, the prevalence varies largely according to the region because of the difference in camel slaughtering practices. Thus, camels are slaughtered at an older age in South of Tunisia, whereas they are slaughtered at an earlier age (before 3 years) in Center of Tunisia. It has been demonstrated, in opposition to other intermediate hosts, that the camel

cysts do not or seldom develop before the age of three [14, 52]. Therefore, it can be assumed that only old camels are implied in the parasite life cycle dog-camel.

Liver and lung are the only organs observed to be infected in production animals. The prevalence of the liver localization of the cyst was higher than that of pulmonary cysts except for the dromadaries where the pulmonary cysts are predominant (Table 4) [14, 45, 46, 53]. The co-infection of both organs in the same host is frequently observed and, contrary to what is usually described in humans, several cysts (up to 50 cysts) may develop in the same organ (Figure 7).

Hosts	No. of animals	Prevalence (%)	Location of cysts	Frequency (%)	Fertility	Protoscolex viability	Period	Reference
Sheep	2722	16.42	Liver	54.97	19.24	74.94	2003-2010	[45]
			Lung	45.02	11.01	66.49	2003-2010	[45]
	1039	40.42	liver	40.42	-	-	2001-2004	[45]
	248	-	Liver	67.33	86	-	2000-2005	[53]
		-	Lung	32.66	63	-	2000-2005	[53]
Cattle	3913	8.56	Liver	62.58	0.55	67.9	2003-2010	[45]
			Lung	37.41	0.40	89	2003-2010	[45]
	203	-	Liver	60.6	76.5	-	2000-2005	[53]
		-	Lung	39.4	27.5	-	2000-2005	[53]
Goat	3779	2.88	Liver	67.82	15.57	25.63	2003-2010	[45]
			Lung	32.14	14.75	14.8	2003-2010	[45]
Dromed- aries	404	5.94	Liver	86.27	22.22	65.86	2003-2010	[45]
			Lung	13.72	22.22	13.72	2003-2010	[45]
	291	6.5	Liver	7.69	-	-	-	[14]
			Lung	92.30	-	-	-	[14]
	8	-	-	-	100	100	2000-2005	[53]
Donkey	2040	8.48	Liver	89.9	3.58	35.80	2006-2007 and 2009	[47]
			Lung	10.09	15.38	32.96	2006-2007 and 2009	[47]

Table 4. CE prevalence and epidemiological data in Tunisian production animals.

However, available CE prevalence in livestock species does not reflect the real endemic situation since it is not considered private or illegal slaughtering. Uncontrolled home slaughtering during religious or local festivities is very common in Tunisia and the infected viscera

Figure 7. Multiple hydatid cysts in the liver (A) and lungs (B) of a bovine. Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Myecology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

unsuitable for consumption are rejected and eaten by dogs. The knowledge of the parasite cycle and its transmission modalities are weak, in spite of a perception of the risk notably in rural areas. For example, a study conducted in 2007 (76 patients, 90 and 100 humans from urban and rural areas, respectively), has demonstrated that 40% of topics interrogated have the false notion that the humans' contamination is consecutive to the consumption of viscera containing hydatid cysts, whereas only 25.8% among them incriminate the dog [54]. The livestock trade in Tunisia is mainly based on weekly markets and exchange of animals all over the country. Thus, the lack of information on the exact geographical origin of livestock makes very difficult the identification of grazing areas at risk and the targeting of prophylactic measures.

Molecular analyses have demonstrated that *E. granulosus* species circulating in Tunisia are *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G1 and G3 genotypes), *E. canadensis* (G6 genotype), and *E. equinus* (G4 genotype) [13, 16, 17] (see Table 1 in genotyping section). The cyst fertility and the viability of protoscoleces are the main factors that allow the maintenance of the cycle between intermediate and definitive host and leads to the existence of particular life cycles. Cyst fertility varied amongst livestock host populations with average rates of 44.8% (range: 11–86%) in sheep, 15%

in goats, 27.13% (range: 0.4–76.5%) in cattle, 48.5% (range: 22.22–100%) in camels, and 4.77% in donkeys [13, 14, 45, 47, 53] (Table 4). Contrary to what was observed in European countries [48], G1 genotype has a significant fertility rate (46%) in the cattle host in Tunisia [13] and Algeria (from 52% to 70%) [19]. In general, a significant fertility rate, as far as cattle was concerned, was due to the *E. ortleppi* species (G5 genotype) and rarely to *E. granulosus sensu stricto* (G1 genotype) [55, 56]. The findings of fertile hydatid cysts and viable protoscoleces in cattle, suggest that Tunisian and Algerian cattle can act as suitable hosts for the G1 genotype. The perfect adaptation of this genotype to bovine host is a significant parameter risk for human contamination via infected dogs and proved that cattle has more importance in the transmission cycle than previously believed.

5. *Echinococcus* in dogs

The CE is highly endemic in many North African countries and very high infection rates have been reported in dogs (55–58% in Morocco [49], 20–25.8% in Libya [57] and 19–42% in Algeria [58]). In Tunisia, although a sylvatic life cycle involving wild carnivores (golden jackals and red foxes) as definitive hosts was described [59], the *E. granulosus* transmission is typically through a synanthropic cycle between dogs and livestock (essentially sheep, cattle, and camels). In Tunisia, the dogs are used primarily to guard livestock and property. The canine population is estimated at 800,000 dogs and composed essentially of feral and semi-feral (free-roaming dogs that are fed by an owner) dogs that rarely receive deworming treatment (Figure 8) [60].

In rural, urban, and semi-urban areas, the canine density is one dog per 3.0 to 5.5 inhabitants, one dog per 16 inhabitants and one dog per 46 inhabitants, respectively. In urban regions, less than 20% of households own a dog, whereas in rural regions there are 7–30 dogs per km², and more than 80% of households own at least one dog [61].

The prevalence of *E. granulosus* in dogs is estimated by several techniques including the detection of worms at necropsy, worm antigen in feces (coproantigen), or direct examination of eggs in dog feces. The prevalence of *E. granulosus* infection in Tunisian dogs ranges from 3.75% to 27.1% depending on the regions [59, 62, 63] (Table 5).

No. of dogs	Prevalence (%)	Worm burden (n)	Diagnostic procedure	Period	Reference
60	18.4	848	Necropsy	2007	[59]
375	3.75	-	Purgation	2002-2003	[62]
256	6.9-27.1	2534	Necropsy	1998-1999	[63]

n: mean number of parasites per infected dog

Table 5. Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of *E. granulosus* dog infection in Tunisia.

The study of the vital areas of stray dogs, based on 52 to 285 locations in a semi-urban area of Tunisia, has identified an area ranging between 0.06 and 8.53 km² [61]. Thus, considering that

Figure 8. Stray and semi-stray dogs in rural area of Gafsa governorate (South of Tunisia). Photograph: LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia.

the average number of worms per dog is estimated at several thousands [59] and more than 8,000 *Echinococcus* eggs were shed per day [64], dogs cause massive environmental contamination. Using direct examination of dog feces, the overall contamination index of dog feces by *E. granulosus* was estimated to 25.3% and was ranged between 8.3% to 41.3% depending on the regions [65]. Nevertheless, the canine echinococcosis is not necessarily correlated to human CE since transmission of echinococcus is influenced by human activities and behavior [65, 66]. As in many African countries, dog contamination is essentially due to the consumption of uninspected meat during familial or religious slaughtering, improper disposal of offal or carcasses unsuitable for consumption that are eaten by numerous stray and semi-stray dogs, and lack of knowledge about the transmission of the disease [50, 60, 67]. Thus, 38.4% and 44% of butchers and population, respectively, have an inappropriate behavior concerning the management of infected offal. They throw them directly into the trash or bury them superficially leaving them easily accessible to dogs [67].

6. Conclusion

Despite the control programs, essentially based on the systematic condemnation of infected offal in slaughterhouses, cystic echinococcosis remains a major public health in Tunisia. The

endemic status differs from one region to another, and some areas have been defined as hyperendemic, holoendemic, mesoendemic, and hypoendemic regions. Hydatidosis is important in rural areas but an extension in the urban zones was noted during the past decade. The characterization of the species responsible for echinococcosis in Tunisia is a significant point that has to be taken into consideration in order to focus and to adapt the control measures. Three *Echinococcus* species (*E. granulosus sensu stricto*, *E. canadensis* and *E. equinus*) have been described in different intermediate hosts but *E. granulosus sensu stricto* G1 genotype remains predominant. The continuing presence of CE in Tunisia depends on a variety of factors and human behavior plays an important role in the perpetuation of the cystic echinococcosis. The importance of pastoral animal husbandry, the elevated number of unrestrained dogs, and their frequent contamination by *E. granulosus* infected viscera are the major causes of the CE spread. The inadequate deworming treatment, the close contact of untreated dogs with humans, and animals particularly in rural areas, the hygiene level, the poor public awareness about the disease, and the favorable ecological and climatic conditions for the survival of *Echinococcus* eggs in the environment constitute ideal conditions for the transmission of the infection to dogs, humans, and animals. Thus, the sanitary education concerning hydatidosis should be reinforced and efforts should be made to implement a targeted educational program. Awareness should be created for the animal attendants, farmers, customers, slaughterhouse workers, and butchers regarding the CE public health significance.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Imen Hizem-Attig for her assistance with the linguistic part of this paper.

Author details

Myriam Oudni-M'rad^{1*}, Selim M'rad¹ and Hamouda Babba^{1,2}

*Address all correspondence to: myriam.mrad@gnet.tn

¹ LP3M: Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, LR12ES08, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia

² Laboratory of Parasitology, teaching hospital F. Bourguiba, Monastir, Tunisia

References

- [1] Dakkak A. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis: A severe threat in Mediterranean countries. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2010;174:2–11.

- [2] Sadjjadi SM. Present situation of echinococcosis in the Middle East and Arabic North Africa. *Parasitology International* 2006;55:197–220.
- [3] Robbana M, Ben Rachid MS, Zitouna MM, Heldt N, Hafsia M. Première observation d'échinococcose alvéolaire autochtone en Tunisie. *Archives Anatomo-Cytologie Pathologique* 1981;29:311–312.
- [4] Zitouna MM, Boubaker S, Dallagi K, Ben Safta Z, Hadj Salah H, Robbana M, Ben Rachid MS. Alveolar echinococcosis in Tunisia. A propos of 2 cases. *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique* 1985;78:723–728.
- [5] Maliki M, Mansouri F, Bouhamidi B, Nabih N, Bernoussi Z, Mahassini N, Elhachimi A. Hepatic alveolar hydatidosis in Morocco. *Médecine tropicale: Revue du corps de santé coloniale* 2004;64:379–380.
- [6] Chahed MK, Bellali H, Touinsi H, Cherif R, Ben Safta Z, Essoussi M, Kilani T. Distribution of surgical hydatidosis in Tunisia, results of 2001-2005 study and trends between 1977 and 2005. *Archives de l'Institut Pasteur deTunis* 2010;87(1-2):43–52.
- [7] Majorowski M, Carabin H, Kilani M, Ben Salah A. Echinococcosis in Tunisia: A cost analysis. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2005;99:268–278.
- [8] WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark. Centralized Information System for Infectious Diseases, Communicable Diseases, Surveillance and Response. <http://data.euro.who.int/cisid> (accessed 2014).
- [9] Brundu D, Piseddu T, Stegel G, Masu G, Ledda S, Masala G. Retrospective study of human cystic echinococcosis in Italy based on the analysis of hospital discharge records between 2001 and 2012. *Acta Tropica* 2014;140:91–96.
- [10] Hüttner M, Nakao M, Torsten W, Siefert L, Boomker JDF, Dinkel A, Sako Y, Mackenstedt U, Romig T, Ito A. Genetic characterization and Phylogenetic position of *Echinococcus felidis* (Cestoda : Taeniidae) from the African lion. *International Journal for Parasitology* 2008;8:861–868.
- [11] Nakao M, McManus DP, Schantz PM, Craig PS, Ito A. A molecular phylogeny of the genus *Echinococcus* inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. *Parasitology* 2007;134:713–722.
- [12] Nakao M, Yanagida T, Okamoto M, Knapp J, Nkouawa A, Sako Y, Ito A. State-of-the-art *Echinococcus* and *Taenia*: Phylogenetic taxonomy of human-pathogenic tapeworms and its application to molecular diagnosis. *Infection Genetic and Evolution* 2010;10(4):444–452.
- [13] M'rad S, Filisetti D, Oudni M, Mekki M, Belguith M, Nouri A, Sayadi T, Lahmar S, Candolfi E, Azaiez R, Mezhoud H, Babba H. Molecular evidence of ovine (G1) and camel (G6) strains of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Tunisia and putative role of cattle in human contamination. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2005;129:267–272.

- [14] Lahmar S, Debbek H, Zhang LH, McManus DP, Souissi A, Chelly S, Torgerson PR. Transmission dynamics of the *Echinococcus granulosus* sheep–dog strain (G1 genotype) in camels in Tunisia. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2004;121:151–156.
- [15] M'rad S, Oudni-M'rad M, Boubaker G, Bouazzi L, Gorcii M, Nouri A, Mezhoud H, Babba H. Etude rétrospective de la distribution et de la fertilité des kystes hydatiques chez l'enfant en Tunisie. *Pathologie Biologie* 2012;60:166–169.
- [16] Boufana B, Lahmar S, Rebaï W, Ben Safta Z, Jebabli L, Ammar A, Kachti M, Aouadi S, Craig PS. Genetic variability and haplotypes of *Echinococcus* isolates from Tunisia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2014;108(11): 706–714.
- [17] M'rad S, Oudni-M'rad M, Filisetti D, Mekki M, Nouri A, Sayadi T, Candolfi E, Azaiez R, Mezhoud H, Babba H. Molecular Identification of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Tunisia: First Record of the Buffalo Strain (G3) in Human and Bovine in the Country. *The Open Veterinary Science Journal* 2010;4:27–30.
- [18] Farjallah S, Busi M, Mahjoub MO, Slimane BB, Said K, D'Amelio S. Molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Tunisia and Mauritania by mitochondrial *rrnS* gene sequencing. *Parassitologia* 2007;49:239–246.
- [19] Bardonnet K, Benchikh-Elfegoun MC, Bart JM, Harraga S, Hannache N, Haddad S, Dumon H, Vuitton DA, Piarroux R. Cystic echinococcosis in Algeria: Cattle act as reservoirs of sheep strain and may contribute to human contamination. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2003;116:35–44.
- [20] Maillard S, Benchikh-Elfegoun MC, Knapp J, Bart JM, Koskei P, Gottstein B, Piarroux R. Taxonomic position and geographical distribution of the common sheep G1 and camel G6 strains of *Echinococcus granulosus* in three African countries. *Parasitology Research* 2007;100:495–503.
- [21] Tashani OA, Zhang LH, Boufana B, Jegi A, McManus DP. Epidemiology and strain characteristics of *Echinococcus granulosus* in the Benghazi area of eastern Libya. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 2002;96:369–381.
- [22] Abushhewa MH, Abushhiwa MHS, Nolan MJ, Jex AR, Campbell BE, Jabbar A, Gasser RB. Genetic classification of *Echinococcus granulosus* cysts from humans, cattle and camels in Libya using mutation scanning-based analysis of mitochondrial loci. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 2010;24:346–351.
- [23] Oudni-M'rad M, M'rad S, Mekki M, Belguith M, Cabaret J, Pratlong F, Sayadi T, Nouri A, Mezhoud H, Babba H, Azaiez R. Genetic relationships between sheep, cattle and human *Echinococcus* infection in Tunisia. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2004;121:95–103.
- [24] Oudni-M'rad M, Cabaret J, M'rad S, Bouzid W, Mekki M, Belguith M, Sayadi T, Nouri A, Lahmar S, Azaiez R, Mezhoud H, Babba H. Genetic difference between Tu-

nisian camel and sheep strains of the cestode *Echinococcus granulosus* revealed by SSCP. Parasite 2006;13:131–136.

- [25] Oudni-M'rad M, Cabaret J, M'rad S, Mekki M, Belguith M, Sayadi T, Nouri A, Mezhoud H, Babba H. P-33. *Echinococcus granulosus* G1 genotype in three hosts (sheep, cattle and man) in Tunisia: Same or several? Proceedings of the International Symposium, Innovation for the Management of Echinococcosis, March 27–29, 2014, Besançon, France. Parasite 2014;21:28.
- [26] Ghedira-Besbes L, Haddad S, Ben Meriem C, Hammami S, Nouri A, Gueddiche MN. Giant hydatid lung cysts: About two paediatric cases. Respiratory Medicine 2010;3:174–178.
- [27] Marghli A, Zairi S, Ammar J, Mohamed J, Ouerghi S, Boudaya MS, Smati B, Hamzaoui A, Kilani T. Pulmonary hydatidosis: A single-center study comparing older and small children. Journal de pédiatrie et de puériculture 2012;25:81–90.
- [28] Ministère de la Santé Publique. Incidence chirurgicale de l'hydatidose en Tunisie: 1988-1992. Rapport interne de la D.S.S.B: Direction des soins de santé de base 1993.
- [29] Chaouachi B, Nouri A, Ben Salah S, Lakhoua R, Saied H. Les kystes hydatiques du poumon chez l'enfant. À propos de 643 cas. Pédiatrie 1988;43:769–773.
- [30] Chaouachi B, Ben Salah S, Lakhoua R, Hammou A, Gharbi HA, Saied H. Les kystes hydatiques chez l'enfant. Aspects diagnostiques et thérapeutiques. A propos de 1195 cas. Annales de pédiatrie 1989;36:441–449.
- [31] Oudni-M'rad M, M'rad S, Gorcii M, Mekki M, Belguith M, Harrabi I, Nouri A, Azaiez R, Mezhoud H, Babba H. L'échinococcose hydatique de l'enfant en Tunisie: Fertilité et localisation des kystes. Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique 2007;100:10–13.
- [32] Anadol D, Göçmen A, Kiper N, Özçelik U. Hydatid disease in childhood: A retrospective analysis of 376 cases. Pediatric Pulmonology 1998;6:190–196.
- [33] Abu-Hassan N, Daragmeh M, Adwan K, Al-Qaoud K, Abdel-Hafez SK. Human cystic echinococcosis in the West Bank of Palestine: surgical incidence and seroepidemiological study. Parasitology Research 2002;88:107–112.
- [34] Al-Qaoud KM, Craig PS, Abdel-Hafez SK. Retrospective surgical incidence and case distribution of cystic echinococcosis in Jordan between 1994 and 2000. Acta Tropica 2003;87:207–214.
- [35] Limaïem F, Bellil S, Bellil K, Chelly I, Mekni A, Khaldi M, Haouet S, Zitouna M, Kchir N. Primary hydatidosis of the central nervous system: A retrospective study of 39 Tunisian cases. Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery 2010;112:23–28.
- [36] Jebblaoui Y, Bouguila J, Yacoub K, Bouguila H, Ben Neji N, Besbes G. Orbital hydatid cyst. Revue de Stomatologie et de Chirurgie Maxillo-faciale 2008;109:117–119.

- [37] Zait H, Achir I, Guerchani MK, Hamrioui B. Profil épidémiologique de 290 cas d'échinococcose kystique humaine diagnostiqués au CHU Mustapha d'Alger (2006 à 2011). *Pathologie Biologie* 2013;61:193–198.
- [38] Mamishi S, Sagheb S, Pourakbari B. Hydatid disease in Iranian children. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection* 2007;40:428–431.
- [39] Todorov T, Boeva V. Echinococcosis in children and adolescents in Bulgaria: a comparative study. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 2000;94:134–144.
- [40] Lahmar S, Rebaï W, Boufana BS, Craig PS, Ksantini R, Daghfous A, Chebbi F, Fteriche F, Bedioui H, Jouini M, Dhibi M, Makni A, Ayad MS, Ammous A, Kacem MJ, Ben Safta Z. Cystic echinococcosis in Tunisia: Analysis of hydatid cysts that have been surgically removed from patient. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 2009;103:593–604.
- [41] Bellil S, Limaïem F, Bellil K, Chelli I, Mekni A, Haouet S, Kchir N, Zitouna M. Profil épidémiologique des kystes hydatiques extra pulmonaires: A propos d'une série tunisienne de 265 cas. *Tunisie Médicale* 2009;87:123–126.
- [42] M'rad S, Oudni-M'rad M, Chaabane-Bennaoues R, Hizem A, Bannour-Ben Abdeljelil A, Ksia A, Lamiri R, Mekki M, Nouri A, Mezhoud H, Babba H. P-32. Identification of *Echinococcus granulosus* species and case distribution of hydatid cysts in children in Tunisia: Proceedings of the International Symposium, Innovation for the Management of Echinococcosis, March 27–29, 2014, Besançon, France. *Parasite* 2014;21:28.
- [43] Torgerson PR. Economics effects of echinococcosis. *Acta Tropica* 2003;85:113–118.
- [44] Ministère de l'agriculture, Direction de la production agricole. Ressources génétiques et animales en Tunisie. Presentation presented at: A symposium; 2013 Avril 14-15; Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. <http://www.au-ibar.org/events/au-ibar/237-the-9th-conference-of-ministers-responsible-for-livestock-in-africa?showall=&start=1>.
- [45] Lahmar S, Trifi M, Ben Naceur S, Bouchhima T, Lahouar N, Lamouchi I, Maamouri N, Selmi R, Dhibi M, Torgerson PR. Cystic echinococcosis in slaughtered domestic ruminants from Tunisia. *Journal of Helminthology* 2013;87(3):318–325.
- [46] Lahmar S, Ben Chéhida F, Petavy AF, Hammou A, Lahmar J, Ghannay A, Gharbi HA, Sarciron ME. Ultrasonographic screening for cystic echinococcosis in sheep in Tunisia. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2007;143:42–49.
- [47] Lahmar S, Boufana B, Jebabli L, Craig PS, Ayari H, Basti T, Dhibi M, Torgerson PR. Modelling the transmission dynamics of cystic echinococcosis in donkeys of different ages from Tunisia. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2014;20:119–124.
- [48] Cardona GA, Carmena D. A review of the global prevalence, molecular epidemiology and economics of cystic echinococcosis in production animals. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2013;192:10–32.

- [49] Azlaf R, Dakkak A, Chentoufi A, El Berrahmani M. Modelling the transmission of *Echinococcus granulosus* in dogs in the northwest and in the southwest of Morocco. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2007;145:297–303.
- [50] Seimenis A. Overview of the epidemiological situation on echinococcosis in the Mediterranean region. *Acta Tropica* 2003;85:191–195.
- [51] McManus DP. Molecular discrimination of taeniid cestodes. *Parasitology International* 2006;55:31–37.
- [52] Ibrahim MM, Craig PS. Prevalence of cystic echinococcosis in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Libya. *Journal of Helminthology* 1998;72:27–31.
- [53] M'rad S. Etude par les techniques PCR-RFLP et séquençage du polymorphisme génétique d'*Echinococcus granulosus* isolé chez l'homme et l'animal en Tunisie. [PhD Thesis]. Sfax, University of Sfax; 2006.
- [54] Benabid M, Chahed MK, Nouira R, Galai Y, Bouratbine A, Aoun K. Knowledge, behaviour and implications on hydatidosis. *Revue Tunisienne d'Infectiologie* 2007;1(4): 22–28.
- [55] Thompson RC, McManus DP. Towards a taxonomic revision of the genus *Echinococcus*. *Trends in Parasitology* 2002;18:452–457.
- [56] Thompson RCA, Kumaratilake LM, Eckert J. Observation on *Echinococcus granulosus* of cattle origin in Switzerland. *International Journal for Parasitology* 1984;14(3):283–291.
- [57] Buishi IE, Njoroge EM, Bouamra O, Craig PS. Canine echinococcosis in northwest Libya: Assessment of coproantigen ELISA, and a survey of infection with analysis of risk factors. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2005;130(3–4):223–232.
- [58] Bentounsi B, Meradi S, Ayachi A, Cabaret J. Cestodes of Untreated Large Stray Dog Populations in Algeria: A Reservoir for Herbivore and Human Parasitic Diseases. *The Open Veterinary Science Journal* 2009;3:64–67.
- [59] Lahmar S, Boufana B, Lahmar S, Inoubli S, Guadraoui M, Dhibi MH, Bradsha W, Craig PS. *Echinococcus* in the wild carnivores and stray dogs of northern Tunisia: The results of a pilot survey. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 2009;103(4): 323–331.
- [60] Aoun K, Bouratbine A. Epidemiological data concerning hydatidosis in Tunisia, *Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses* 2007;37:40–42.
- [61] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Renforcement de la surveillance et des systèmes d'alerte pour la fièvre catarrhale ovine, la fièvre du Nil occidental et la rage au Maroc, en Algérie et en Tunisie. 2009. 17p. Projet GCP/RAB/002/FRA.

- [62] Lahmar S, Sarciron ME, Rouiss M, Hammouda A, Youssfi M, Mensi M. *Echinococcus granulosus* and other intestinal helminths in semi-stray dogs in tunisia : infection and re-infection rates. *La Tunisie médicale* 2008;86(3):279–286.
- [63] Lahmar S, Kilani M, Torgerson PR. Frequency distribution of *Echinococcus granulosus* and other helminths in stray dogs in Tunisia. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 2001;95(1):69–76.
- [64] Gemmell MA, Lawson JR, Roberts MG. Population dynamics in echinococcosis and cysticercosis: Evaluation of the biological parameters of *Taenia hydatigena* and *T. ovis* and comparison with those of *Echinococcus granulosus*. *Parasitology* 1987;94(1):161–180.
- [65] Chaabane-Banaoues R, Oudni-M'rad M, Cabaret J, M'rad S, Mezhoud H, Babba H. Infection of dogs with *Echinococcus granulosus*: causes and consequences in an hyper-endemic area. *Parasites & Vectors* 2015;8(1):231.
- [66] Jenkins EJ, Schurer JM, Gesy KM. Old problems on a new playing field: Helminth zoonoses transmitted among dogs, wildlife, and people in a changing northern climate. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2011;182:54–69.
- [67] Besbes M, Sellami H, Cheikhrouhou F, Makni F, Ayadi A. L'abattage clandestin en Tunisie: Enquête sur les connaissances et les pratiques des bouchers face à l'hydatidose. *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique* 2003;96(4):320–322.