



259th ACS National Meeting, Philadelphia, PA March 22 - 26, 2020.

Treatment of kitchen wastewater using aerobic biological method and sand-bed filtration.

Uwidia, I.E. and Esogbue, M.

Department of Chemistry, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

> Water is a very vital natural resource and a primary need of man. Abundant fresh water supplies has been a problem of antiquity.

≻Globally, access to safe, clean water is limited. Improper sanitation due to water crisis has resulted to water related diseases particularly among children in developing countries (Earkin and Sharman, 2010).

Global, fresh water crisis has been traced to:
i. increase in industrialization
ii. urbanization
iii. mismanagement and iv. overuse of water
resources
(Michael et al, 2018;Erickson et al., 2003).

> The threshold of people living under water stress is increasing. Availability of freshwater supply to meet increasing demand remains unachievable; this can lead to crisis.

>Alternative measures of fresh water augmentation to meet increasing demand is necessary.

> Kitchen wastewater refers to spent water from kitchen sink without contamination from human urine or excrement. >Depending on the quality, composition and intended reuse, Kitchen wastewater of low pollution strength can be treated, safely recycled and would have high potential for reuse (Bernard *et al* 2003; Casanova et al., 2001).

Significance of wastewater recycling and reuse:
i. it conserves water when there is limited supply
ii. reuse will help reduce wastewaters entering
sewers or septic tanks.

AIM OF STUDY:

The aim of the research was to analyse kitchen wastewater for pollution levels, treat the water and determine the feasibility for reuse.

OBJECTIVES:

The objectives of the study were to:

- \succ characterise the kitchen wastewater.
- > treat the raw wastewater using aerobic biological treatment and sand-bed filtration.
- > analyse the treated effluent and evaluate the possibility for re-use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Description of sample and sampling method



Plate 1: Images of the kitchen wastewater

Table 1:Parameters analysed according to standard methods (APHA 1995; Ademoroti, 1996).

pH
Temperature
Turbidity
Conductivity
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
Alkalinity
Hardness
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)
Chemical Oxygen Demand
Bacterial Count



Plate 2: Aerobic biological treatment



Figure 1: Sand bed filtration

RESULTS

Table2: Summary of results from analysis

Parameters	Units	Raw Kitchen	Treated	FMEnv. and WHO	
		Wastewater	Kitchen Wastewater	Standard Limits	
		Mean values	Mean values	FMEnv.	Maximum
		±SD	± SD	Limit	permissible
pH		7.4 ± 0.057	7.9 ± 0.000	6.0 - 8.5	6.5 - 8.5
Temperature	°C	29 ± 0.060	31± 1.700	≤ 40°C	25 - 35°C
Turbidity	NTU	6.9 ± 0.127	6.0 ± 0.2333	1	5
Conductivity	μS/cm	365 ± 0.000	311 ± 10.270	NA	900 - 1,200
Total dissolved solids(TDS)	mg/L	141 ± 8.505	121 ± 2.944	10	500 - 1,500
Total Suspended Solids(TSS)	mg/L	5.0 ± 3.536	2.0 ± 0.000	100	NA
Alkalinity	mg/L	6.3 ± 0.000	7.3 ± 0.000	NA	100
Hardness	mg/L	16.0 ± 0.000	15.0 ± 0.000	NA	500
Biochemical oxygen demand(BOD)	mg/L	5.5 ± 0.000	1.82 ± 0.000	250	4.0
Chemical oxygen demand (COD)	mg/L	36.0 ± 0.000	32.0 ± 0.000	NA	30
Bacterial Count	CFU	286 x 10 ⁻⁴	16 x 10 ⁻⁴	NA	NA

SD= Standard deviation FMEnv. = Federal Ministry of Environment (National Wastewater Discharge Limit) WHO = World Health Organization Standard guideline for portable water quality)

DISCUSSION

- Most of the pollution parameters analysed were reduced after the treatment. Therefore, aerobic biological treatment and sand-bed filtration were effective.
- Also parameters analysed were within standard limits. This showed that the kitchen wastewater will be fit for reuse.

FINDINGS

- Aerobic biological treatment and sand-bed filtration were effective in the treatment of the raw kitchen wastewater.
- The treated kitchen wastewater could meet for non-portable re-use applications.

REFERENCES

>Earkin B.W, Sharman G.F (2010). Volumes of the world's oceans from ETOPO1, NOAA, National GeophysicalData center,<u>https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/etopo1_</u> _ocean_volumes.html

Michael O, Mike A.A, Nanne K (2018). Greywater
 Characteristics, Treatment Systems, Reuse Strategies and User
 Perception – a Review, Water Air Soil Pollution 229:255,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270</u> - 018 - 3909 – 8, 2 – 15pp.

Eriksson A, Eilersen K, Henze A.M, Ledin, M, (2003). Household Chemicals and Personal Care Product as Sources for Xenobiotic Organic Compounds in Grey Wastewater, Journal of Environmental Research and Technology Vol. 29, No.2 135 – 146pp.

Bernard N, Lewin D, Pitcher D.O, (2003). Active carbons prepared by chemical activation of plum stones and their applications, *Journal of hazardous materials*, vol. 181, No. 2, 1088 – 1094pp.

- Casanova, L.M., Gerba, C.P., Karpiscak, M. (2001). Chemical and microbial characterization of household greywater. Journal of environmental science and health, Part A. Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering, 34, 395 – 401pp.
- > APHA, American Public Health Association (1995).Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, Washington D.C.
- Ademoroti, C.M.A (1996). Standard Methods for Water and Effluents Analysis, Foludex Press Ltd. Ibadan, Nigeria, 1st edition, 20-51pp
- > World Health Organization, WHO. (2011). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, 4th ed., 20 Avenue Appia,1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland.
- FME (Federal Ministry of Environment). 2001. Guidelines and Standards for Water Quality in Nigeria, Publ. Fed. Min. Of Environment. pp114.



THANK YOU.